

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,091	07/08/2003	Mark Davis	1070P3821 9656	
53483 KACVINSKY	7590 08/28/2007 LLC	EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLE	VATE	ZHOU, TING		
P.O. BOX 520. MINNEAPOL	- -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

A. . . &

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,091	DAVIS, MARK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ting Zhou	2173	

	Ting Zhou	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp time periods:	or on the same day as filing a Notice of following replies: (1) an amendment, at a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply expires on:	pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF	PEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply orige to later than three months after the mailing do	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL A brief in	compliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require furth They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE) 	er consideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceli NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF	R 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s how the new or amended claims would be rejected i The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-17 and 19-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	on, but before or on the date of filing a North od and sufficient reasons why the affidate.	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	d to overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explain	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consider	ad but does NOT place the application	in condition for allows	nce hecause:
See Continuation Sheet.			nce because.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements. ☐ Other:	ni(s). (P10/56/06) Paper No(s). <u>08/15/</u>	<u>01</u>	
			SE HAILU EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however, they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Windows is not applicable as prior art for the independent claims because the current version of the Windows operating system cannot be assigned the copyright date. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The screenshots were based on Version 5.1 of Windows, therefore all the features of the Windows system used in the screenshots are features that are available under Version 5.1; version 5.1 has a copyright date of 2001, as shown in Screenshot 1; therefore, the features relied upon in the screenshots, which are features of version 5.1 of the Windows system, have a copyright date of 2001. The examiner respectfully maintains that the combination of Windows and Dyszel disclose all the elements of the claimed subject matter. The applicant's arguments have failed to place the application in condition for allowance, therefore, the claims remain rejected according to the final office action dated 5/15/07.

TADESSE HAILU PRIMARY EXAMINER